

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/665,018	Applicant(s) TAYLOR ET AL.	
	Examiner Carl Colin	Art Unit 2136	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Carl Colin.

(3) _____.

 (2) Steven Wigmore.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 8, 11, and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Mi et al, 6,418,472

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Carl Colin
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative, Steven Wigmore, and suggested to further amend the independent claims by including the claimed limitation of claim 4 and the "if ...false" condition in the claimed language in order to place the claims in better form for allowance. Applicant's Representative agreed to the Examiner's suggestion and authorized the Examiner to amend the claims by Examiner's Amendment in an E-mail correspondence received by Examiner on 9/29/2006 (see attached).